

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/645,305	Applicant(s) PRUSS ET AL.	
	Examiner Wayne Langel	Art Unit 1754	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Wayne Langel.

 (2) Mr. Abel.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 August 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1-49*


Prior art documents discussed:

*none*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Abel that the restriction requirement was being modified to the extent that claims 11-13 are considered to be linking only among the inventions of Groups I, V, VI and VII as outlined in the restriction requirement made 10-27-05, and not among all the groups of inventions. Mr. Abel agreed to cancel claims 14-29 as drawn to non-elected inventions..